



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/863,103 05/23/97 BRYANT

C E025-1030

ISAF VAUGHAN AND KERR
P O BOX 720601
ATLANTA GA 30358-2601

QM02/0307

EXAMINER

KOCZO JR. M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3746

DATE MAILED:

03/07/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.
08/863,103

Applicant(s)
Bryant

Examiner
Michael Koczo, Jr.

Group Art Unit
3746



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Michael Koczo, Jr. (3) _____
(2) Tom McGurk (4) _____

Date of Interview Mar 6, 2001

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 38 and 49

Identification of prior art discussed:

None.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Mr. Gurk inquired regarding the true status of claims 38 and 49 because of conflicts between their status in the Office action summary and the detailed Office action. Mr. Gurk was informed that claim 38 should be grouped with claims 46 and 53 as being rejected under 35 USC 102 as being anticipated by Bricout. On page 2 of the Office action, line 7 from the bottom, "48" should read --49--.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

MICHAEL KOCZO, JR.
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3746